

Preparation of an Isocyano- β -diketone via its Metal Complexes, by Use of Metal Ions as Protecting Groups

Yixun Zhang[†] and Andrew W. Maverick*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803. † Present address: Department of Physical Sciences, University of Texas of the Permian Basin, Odessa, Texas 79762.

Received February 1, 2009

A ligand containing isocyanide and β -diketone functional groups, 3-(4-isocyanophenyl)-2,4-pentanedione (HacphNC), and several of its metal complexes have been prepared. The free isocyano-β-diketone could not be prepared by dehydration of the analogous formamide, HacphNHCHO, because of the reactivity of its $β$ -diketone moiety. Instead, the metal complexes $AI(acphNC)_{3}$, $Fe(acphNC)_{3}$, $Cu(acphNC)_{2}$, and $Zn(acphNC)_{2}$ were synthesized by dehydration of the formamido- β -diketonate complexes Al(acphNHCHO)₃, Fe(acphNHCHO)₃, Cu-(acphNHCHO)2, and Zn(acphNHCHO)2. The free isocyano-β-diketone, HacphNC, can be liberated from its Al and Fe complexes by treatment with oxalate $\rm(C_2O_4{}^{2-})$ and $\rm{HC_2O_4}^{-1}$. In addition to these O -bound complexes, C (N)-bound complexes can be prepared by the reaction of either $AI(acphNC)_3$ or HacphNC with Au(I). X-ray analyses of HacphNC, A l(acphNC)₃, (HacphNC)AuCl, Cu(acphNHCHO)₂, trans-Zn(acphNHCHO)₂(H₂O)₂, and two other intermediates are also reported.

Introduction

Heterofunctional ligands are of interest because of their ability to bind to different types of metal ions. This is important in the construction of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). MOFs have attracted scientists because the various coordination geometries and abilities of metal ions together with different types of ligands provide many possible open framework structures. Rational design of ligands can lead to predictable extended solid frameworks with potential applications in separation, gas storage, molecular recognition, and catalysis.¹

Several porous frameworks have been prepared from the heterofunctional ligand pyridylacetylacetone (pyacH) by Domasevitch et al. 67 and by our group.^{8,9} For example, a

(5) Ward, M. D. *Science* **2003**, 300, 1104–1105.
(6) Vreshch, V. D.; Chernega, A. N.; Howard, J. A. K.; Sieler, J.; Domasevitch, K. V. Dalton Trans. 2003, 1707–1711.

porous 1D ladder structure prepared from $Fe(pyac)$ ₃ and $AgNO₃$ is of interest because the solvent guests in its pores can be exchanged in single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformations.⁹

Although the above 1D Fe(pyac)₃ $-AgNO₃$ porous framework is stable under solvent exchange, the others are not, and all are unstable in a vacuum. This limited stability is likely due to the weakness of the interactions between layers in these networks. Our goal is to develop ligands that are suitable for the construction of porous 3D frameworks, because they are expected to show greatly enhanced stability. The most promising route to 3D structures is to use conditions that favor the assembly of six ligands coordinated octahedrally around one metal. Although a few $M(py)_{6}^{n+}$ complexes are known, they generally form only in the presence of excess pyridine.¹⁰⁻¹² Thus, pyridine-based ligands, such as pyac⁻, are unlikely to be useful in preparing 3D supramolecular materials. One common method for stabilizing metal complexes is choosing chelating ligands. However, in our case, a chelating ligand (e.g., a 2,2-bipyridine derivative) is difficult to functionalize so that it will also bind to two other metal atoms. Therefore, in order to improve the prospects for 3D materials, we need to use building blocks based on strongly coordinating monodentate ligands. Nitriles, such as 3-(4-cyanophenyl)acetylacetone, have been studied as

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: maverick $@$ lsu.edu.

⁽¹⁾ Davis, M. E. Nature 2002, 417, 813–821.

⁽²⁾ Eddaoudi, M.; Kim, J.; Rosi, N.; Vodak, D.; Wachter, J.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Science 2002, 295, 469–472.

⁽³⁾ Pan, L.; Adams, K. M.; Hernandez, H. E.; Wang, X. T.; Zheng, C.; Hattori, Y.; Kaneko, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3062-3067.

(4) Rosi, N. L.; Eckert, J.; Eddaoudi, M.; Vodak, D. T.; Kim, J.;

O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Science 2003, 300, 1127–1129.

⁽⁷⁾ Vreshch, V. D.; Lysenko, A. B.; Chernega, A. N.; Howard, J. A. K.; Krautscheid, H.; Sieler, J.; Domasevitch, K. V. Dalton Trans. 2004, 2899–

^{2903.}

⁽⁸⁾ Chen, B.; Fronczek, F. R.; Maverick, A. W. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 8209–8211.

⁽⁹⁾ Zhang, Y.; Chen, B.; Fronczek, F. R.; Maverick, A. W. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 4433–4435.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Jones, W. C.; Bull, W. E. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 1849–1852.

⁽¹¹⁾ Fletcher, R. J.; Gans, P.; Gill, J. B.; Geyer, C. J. Mol. Liq. 1997, 73-4, 99–106.

⁽¹²⁾ Templeton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4906–4917.

Figure 1. The bifunctional isocyano- β -diketone HacphNC.

heterofunctional ligands,¹³ but nitriles tend to bind weakly to metals, making it difficult to generate six-coordinate complexes $M(NCR)_{6}^{n+}$. On the other hand, isocyanide ligands (CNR) are known to make stable complexes $M(CNR)_{6}^{n+1}$ with metals such as Cr,¹⁴⁻²⁰ Mn,²¹⁻²⁶ Fe,²⁷⁻²⁹ and Re.³⁰⁻³⁴ Early on, Sacco and Naldini synthesized highly stable manganese(I) isocyanide complexes $[(RNC)_6Mn]^{+.21-23}$ More recently, Barybin et al. have prepared a number of homoleptic isocyanide complexes (e.g., $Cr(CNFc)$ ₆ (Fc = ferrocenyl 1^{19}) in high yield, using only a slight excess of the isocyanide ligand.

We were interested in HacphNC $(1,$ Figure 1), with one isocyanide and one β -diketone moiety, for possible conversion to 3D porous materials. This ligand's isocyanide moiety should be able to bind to "soft" metals such as $Cr(0)$, $Mn(I)$, or Fe(II) and its β -diketone moiety to "hard" metals such as Cu(II) or Zn(II). We report here the preparation of the HacphNC ligand and the properties of several of its metal complexes.

Results and Discussion

Synthetic Strategy. Figure 2 illustrates the overall route we chose for synthesizing the target compound, HacphNC (1), via 3-(4-formamidophenyl)acetylacetone (HacphNHCHO, 2), from 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (3). Reduction of the nitro group of 3 and formylation of the resulting amine, and conversion of its aldehyde to a

- (13) Chen, B.; Fronczek, F. R.; Maverick, A. W. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 2004, 60, M147–M149.
- (14) Ljungström, E. Acta Chem. Scand. A 1978, 32, 47-50.
- (15) Bohling, D. A.; Mann, K. R. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1426–1432. (16) Lentz, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 381, 205–212.
- (17) Anderson, K. A.; Scott, B.; Wherland, S.; Willett, R. D. Acta
- Crystallogr., Sect. C 1991, 47, 2337-2339.

(18) Acho, J. A.; Lippard, S. J. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1294-1299.
- (19) Barybin, M. V.; Holovics, T. C.; Deplazes, S. F.; Lushington, G. H.;
- Powell, D. R.; Toriyama, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13668–13669. (20) Robinson, R. E.; Holovics, T. C.; Deplazes, S. F.; Lushington, G. H.;
- Powell, D. R.; Barybin, M. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4432–4433. (21) Sacco, A. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1956, 75, 646.
	-
	- (22) Sacco, A. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1956, 86, 201–206.
(23) Sacco, A.; Naldini, L. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1956, 86, 207–210.
- (24) Ericsson, M. S.; Jagner, S.; Ljungström, E. Acta Chem. Scand. A
- 1979, 33, 371–374. (25) Ericsson, M. S.; Jagner, S.; Ljungström, E. Acta Chem. Scand. A
- 1980, 34, 535–540. (26) Godfrey, S. M.; Li, G. Q.; McAuliffe, C. A.; Ndifon, P. T.; Pritchard,
- R. G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1992, 200, 23–30. (27) Powell, H. M.; Bartindale, G. W. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1945, 799–803.
(28) Constant, G.; Daran, J. C.; Jeannin, Y. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1973,
- 35, 4083–4091.
- (29) Schaal, M.; Weigand, W.; Nagel, U.; Beck, W. Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 2186–2197.
- (30) Malatesta, L.; Freni, M.; Valenti, V.; Bossi, E. Angew. Chem. 1960, 72, 323.
- (31) Treichel, P. M.; Williams, J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 135, 39– 51.
- (32) Allison, J. D.; Wood, T. E.; Wild, R. E.; Walton, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 3540–3546.
- (33) Girolami, G. S.; Andersen, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 2040–2044. (34) Schaffer, P.; Britten, J. F.; Davison, A.; Jones, A. G.; Valliant, J. F.
- J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 680, 323–328.

Figure 2. Synthetic strategy for the isocyano- β -diketone HacphNC (1).

Figure 3. Two possible routes for preparing HacphNHCHO (2).

Figure 4. Protection of the β -diketone group by coordination to metal ions prior to isocyanide synthesis.

 β -diketone, was expected to yield 2. Isocyanides are normally prepared by dehydration of formamides; thus, dehydration of 2 was expected to produce 1.

We tested two possible routes starting from 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (3) to make 2 (Figure 3). In route A, the aldehyde group is converted into a β -diketone first (making 5), and then the nitro group is reduced to an amino group and formylated. In route B, the nitro group is reduced to an amino group and formylated first (6), and then the aldehyde is converted into a β -diketone.

After the aldehyde group is converted into a β -diketone, it is coordinated to metal ions, which serve as a "protecting group" (Figure 4). The metal formamido- β -diketonate complex 7 can then be dehydrated to an isocyanide complex (8). Free HacphNC (1) can be liberated

Figure 5. Synthesis and ellipsoid plot of (4-nitrophenyl)phospholane intermediate 9.

by treatment of the metal isocyano- β -diketonate complex with a suitable metal-complexing agent or with dilute acid.

Synthesis of HacphNHCHO (2). We attempted to prepare 3-(4-nitrophenyl)acetylacetone (5) by route A. 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde (3) was mixed with 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (4) in dichloromethane for one day, and then the mixture was refluxed in anhydrous methanol for three days. (The conversion of aromatic aldehydes to 3-arylacetylacetones by reaction with 4 was first explored by Ramirez et al.³⁵) The first stage of this reaction proceeds cleanly, producing 9 (4-acetyl-4-methyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2,2,2-trimethoxy1,3,2-dioxaphospholane; Figure 5). We identified 9 by NMR spectroscopy and by X-ray analysis (see the Supporting Information). However, our attempts to convert 9 to the desired (4-nitrophenyl)acetylacetone 5, under several different conditions, were unsuccessful. (This may be due to the electron-withdrawing effect of the nitro group in 9.)

Because route A was not successful (see Figure 3), we turned to route B for the synthesis of 2. Conversion of 3 to 6 proceeds by initial reduction to produce 4-aminobenzaldehyde, followed by rapid formylation to make 6. The intermediate 4-aminobenzaldehyde self-condenses to yield insoluble polymeric products; thus, it should be formylated as quickly as possible to give a good yield of 4-formamidobenzaldehyde (6) . Hrvatin and Sykes³⁶ reported the conversion of 3 to 6 using tin metal and formic acid in toluene. We modified their procedure by replacing toluene (as solvent) with a mixture of ethyl formate and ethyl acetate, increasing the amount of formic acid, and adding the tin metal in smaller portions. Our modifications in the procedure were designed to keep all of the liquid components of the reaction mixture miscible, generate 4-aminobenzaldehyde more slowly, and formylate it faster to make 6; the resulting yield was higher (66%, vs lit.³⁶ 47%).

Compound 6 reacts with phospholene 4 in dichloromethane to generate β -diketone 2 (HacphNHCHO, Figure 3), which was purified by column chromatography and isolated as yellow crystals. Both E and Z forms of 2 and 6 were characterized (see the Supporting Information). The new β -diketone 2 also exhibits keto-enol

Figure 6. Preparation of formamido-β-diketonate complexes ¹⁰-¹³ and their conversion to isocyano- β -diketonate complexes $14-17$.

Figure 7. Crystal structure of Cu(Z-acphNHCHO)₂ (12).

tautomerism (in CDCl₃, 94% enol: 6% keto by ¹H NMR).

Syntheses of M(acphNHCHO)_n. The β -diketone moiety of 2 required protection before dehydration of the formamido group to an isocyanide. (Attempts to prepare 1 directly by the dehydration of 2 were unsuccessful; see below.) We chose metal ions as "protecting groups" for the β -diketone because there are numerous procedures available for preparing metal β-diketonates. Also, dehydration of the resulting metal formamido $β$ -diketonates (M(acphNHCHO)_n) should yield metal isocyano- β -diketonates (M(acphNC)_n), which may be useful in building supramolecular structures even without isolation of free HacphNC (1). We chose the metal ions Al^{3+} , Fe³⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺, to react with 2 (Figure 6).

We prepared Al(acphNHCHO)_3 (10) and Fe(acphNH- $CHO₃$ (11) by the reaction of Al(NO₃)₃ and Fe(NO₃)₃, respectively, with HacphNHCHO (2) in the presence of NaH-CO3. This is similar to previously published procedures for $Al(pyac)$ ₃ and Fe(pyac)₃.^{7,9,37} The products are soluble in DMSO and acetone, and slightly soluble in chloroform and dichloromethane.

Bluish-green $Cu(acphNHCHO)_{2}$ (12) precipitates immediately when 2 and copper(II) acetate are mixed together in water/methanol solution. Compound 12 (see Figure 7) is slightly soluble in DMSO and soluble in pyridine, but insoluble in almost all other common

⁽³⁵⁾ Ramirez, F.; Bhatia, S. B.; Patwardhan, A. V.; Smith, C. P. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 3547–3553.

⁽³⁶⁾ Hrvatin, P.; Sykes, A. G. Synlett 1997, 1069–1070.

⁽³⁷⁾ Mackay, L. G.; Anderson, H. L.; Sanders, J. K. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 2269–2273.

Figure 8. Ellipsoid plot of trans-Zn(Z-acphNHCHO)₂(H₂O)₂ (13), from the crystal structure of its dihydrate.

solvents. The structure of 12 also contains intermolecular contacts (Cu1 \cdots O3, 2.838(2) A), which make the structure polymeric and give the Cu atoms an approximately octahedral coordination geometry overall.

trans-Zn(acphNHCHO)₂(H₂O)₂ (13) is made from zinc acetate and 2, with added NaHCO₃. Crystals grown during the synthesis were suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis. In the structure of 13 (see Figure 8), the two β-diketonate groups form a stepped arrangement about Zn^{2+} . This contrasts with the structure of 12, in which the two acac moieties are coplanar. Compound 13 is readily soluble in DMSO and pyridine, and slightly soluble in chloroform and dichloromethane.

 $M(aephNC)_n$ Complexes. All four metal formamido- β -diketonate complexes (10-13) can be dehydrated by $POCl₃$ in the presence of diisopropylamine (Figure 6), in a dichloromethane solution. The starting materials are not very soluble in $CH₂Cl₂$, whereas the products dissolve readily. The Al, Fe, and Zn starting materials (10, 11, and 13) all react within 2 h. In contrast, most of the copper starting material (12) still remains as unreacted solid even after five days. This slow reaction may be due to the fact that 12 is polymeric in the solid state (see Figure 7). Such polymerization would explain the low solubility of 12 and also interfere sterically with the dehydration reaction. A similar phenomenon is observed with $Cu(pyac)_2$: its crystals are also polymeric, which leads to low solubility in a variety of solvents.38

The IR absorptions ($v_{\text{C=N}}$) for the isocyano-β-diketonate complexes $Al(aephNC)$ ₃ (14), Fe(acphNC)₃ (15), Cu(acphNC)₂ (16), and Zn(acphNC)₂ (17) appear at 2121, 2126, 2147, and 2124 cm⁻¹, respectively. The values for 14, 15, and 17 are very close to those for free aryl isocyanide ligands^{39–42} and for the free ligand HacphNC (2122 cm^{-1}) ; see below). The higher frequency for the Cu complex (16) may again indicate coordination of the isocyanide groups to adjacent Cu atoms, but it could be obtained only in impure form. The yield for 17 was low (13%); this may be due to the fact that the Zn complex reactant, 13, was hydrated, and its water of hydration could react readily with POCl₃. However, an attempt using an excess of $POCI₃$ still gave a low yield of 17. Preparation of Al(acphNC)_3 and Fe(acphNC)₃ (14 and 15), on the other hand, proceeded in 75% and 90% yield, respectively, relatively high values for the transformation of three identical functional groups in the same molecule.

- (40) Singleton, E.; Oosthuizen, H. E. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22, 209–310.
- (41) Uson, R.; Laguna, A.; Vicente, J.; Garcia, J.; Bergareche, B.; Brun, P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 28, 237–243.
- (42) Treichel, P. M. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 11, 21–86.

Figure 9. Ellipsoid plot of $AI(aephNC)$ ₃ (14), from the crystal structure of its hexane solvate.

Figure 10. Illustration of the crystal structure of $AI(aephNC)_3$, viewed parallel to the *a* axis. One orientation of the $Al(acphNC)$ ₃ molecules is shown in purple, and the inversion-related orientation is in gold. The blue surfaces, calculated with a 0.9 Å probe radius and a 0.7 Å grid, enclose voids of ca. 400 \AA^3 , or 21% of the unit cell volume.

The crystal structure of $Al(aephNC)$ ₃ (14) is shown in Figure 9. Molecules of $Al(aephNC)_3$ in the crystal are crudely trigonal in shape, but their $CN \cdot \cdot \cdot Al \cdot \cdot \cdot NC$ angles $(137.7^{\circ}, 81.9^{\circ}, \text{ and } 140.3^{\circ})$ show large deviations from the ideal 120°. Similarly large distortions have been observed in the structures of Al(pyac)₃⁴³ and Fe(pyac)₃.^{7,9}

Initial solution of the crystal structure of Al(aephNC)_3 revealed molecules of 14, but also a region with poorly defined electron density attributable to solvent molecules. Several approximately evenly spaced difference electron density peaks of similar intensity were observed in the solvent region, which suggested a hydrocarbon. Because hexane had been used in the crystallization process, our first model included disordered partially occupied hexane molecules in this region, with an overall formula of $Al(aephNC)_3 \cdot 0.5C_6H_{14}$. For the final refinement, however, the solvent contribution to the data was removed using SQUEEZE⁴⁴ (in PLATON⁴⁵): approximately 58 electrons were removed per unit cell (corresponding to ca. 0.58 molecule of hexane per formula unit), and a void of

(45) Spek, A. L. PLATON; Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2008. See also: Spek, A. L. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 7–13.

⁽³⁸⁾ Chen, B. L.; Fronczek, F. R.; Maverick, A. W. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2166–2167.

⁽³⁹⁾ Ecken, H.; Olmstead, M. M.; Noll, B. C.; Attar, S.; Schlyer, B.; Balch, A. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 3715–3720.

⁽⁴³⁾ Zhang, Y. Ph. D. Dissertation, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, 2006.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ van der Sluis, P.; Spek, A. L. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, A46, 194–201.

Figure 11. Displacement of metal ions from $Al(aephNC)$ ₃ (14) and Fe(acphNC)₃ (15) to produce the free isocyano- β -diketone ligand HacphNC (1) .

Figure 12. Crystal structure of HacphNC (1).

ca. 400 \mathring{A}^3 per unit cell was left behind, see Figure 10. This void has approximately the right volume for two hexane molecules (on the basis of the density of liquid hexane at 25 °C, 0.655 g cm⁻³, the volume of one molecule of hexane is approximately 219 \AA^3). Thus, it is possible that the crystals formed as $\text{Al(acphNC)}_3 \cdot \text{C}_6\text{H}_{14} (Z=2)$, but some hexane was lost during selection and mounting. This propensity for solvent loss is consistent with the microanalysis for 14 (see the Experimental Section), which fits well with the ansolvous compound.

Synthesis of HacphNC. We attempted to prepare the free HacphNC ligand (1) directly from 2 by treatment with POCl₃. Spectra of this reaction mixture indicated that the formamide moieties were consumed (NMR) and isocyanide was formed (IR). However, the NMR spectra indicated that the β -diketone group was destroyed under the reaction conditions. Instead, we isolated HacphNC from its Al, Fe, and Zn complexes (14, 15, and 17).

We explored two strategies for obtaining HacphNC (1) from its complexes. First, we treated 14, 15, and 17 with dilute acetic acid; this produced the desired HacphNC, but only in low yields (ca. 10%). Second, we treated CH_2Cl_2 solutions of Al(acphNC)₃ (14) and Fe(acphNC)₃ (15) with aqueous solutions prepared from oxalic acid and oxalate salts as extractants. In this scheme (Figure 11), the H^+ from the acid is designed to protonate the coordinated $β$ -diketonate ligand, and the oxalate is designed to complex the liberated $Fe³⁺$. The procedure with Fe(III) has the advantage that the colors of Fe(acphNC)₃ and Fe(C₂O₄)₃³⁻ make it easy to determine visually when the extraction of the metal ion into the aqueous layer is complete. With an excess of oxalate, we obtained HacphNC in 37% yield from Fe(acphNC)₃; yields from $Al(aephNC)_3$ were lower. The crystal structure of 1 (IR $v_{\text{C=N}}$ 2122 cm⁻¹) is shown in Figure 12.

To explore the reactivity of the isocyanide moiety of HacphNC, we treated both the free ligand (1) and its Al

Figure 13. Crystal structure of HacphNCAuCl (18). Adjacent molecules in the crystal are arranged to form zigzag chains of weak Au \cdots Au interactions (3.466 Å), similar to those previously reported for $(2,6 Me₂C₆H₃NC)AuX (X = Br, I).$ ³⁹

complex (14) with $(Me₂S)AuCl$, giving HacphNCAuCl (18) and Al(acphNCAuCl)₃ (19), respectively. These products were characterized by NMR and IR, and crystals of 18 suitable for X-ray analysis were also obtained (Figure 13). The $v_{\text{C=N}}$ values are higher in the products compared to HacphNC (2122 cm⁻¹), as expected: 2223 and 2222 cm^{-1} for 18 and 19, respectively. These values are very close to that observed by Uson et al. for PhNCAuCl (2230 cm^{-1}) .⁴¹ The Al-Au complex 19 is an example in which both the soft (isocyanide) and hard (β-diketonate) moieties of HacphNC are coordinated to metal ions.

Conclusion

The first ligand combining isocyanide and β -diketone groups, 3-(4-isocyanophenyl)-2,4-pentanedione (HacphNC), has been synthesized. The ligand was first prepared in the form of its Al, Fe(III), Cu(II), and Zn complexes. Treatment of the Al and Zn complexes with dilute acid, or of the Al and Fe complexes with $C_2O_4^2$ /HC₂O₄⁻, liberates the target ligand HacphNC. The isocyanide moieties in both the free ligand HacphNC and its Al complex $Al(aephNC)_3$ can also bind to Au(I). We are now extending the chemistry of HacphNC to metals that will yield higher coordination numbers, for the construction of 3D supramolecular structures.

Experimental Section

A Bruker DPX-250 instrument (250 MHz) was used for NMR spectroscopy. All IR spectra were performed on thin films with the use of a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer. Singlecrystal X-ray diffraction data were collected with use of a Nonius KappaCCD instrument; the results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. All experimental procedures were carried out in the air unless otherwise noted. Crystal-structure illustrations were prepared using CSD Mercury, version 2.2 (Figure 10) and Ortep-3 for Windows.

4-Formamidobenzaldehyde (OHC-4- C_6H_4 NHCHO, 6). 4-Formamidobenzaldehyde was first synthesized by Hrvatin and Sykes³⁶ in formic acid/toluene. We obtained a higher yield of the product by using a mixture of ethyl formate and ethyl acetate as the reactant/solvent, and by adding the tin metal in two portions. 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde (6.04 g, 40 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of formic acid (90%, 60 mL), ethyl formate (50 mL), and ethyl acetate (150 mL). The solution was refluxed under nitrogen with stirring. Tin metal (9.0 g, 76 mmol) was added in two equal portions: one immediately and the other after 24 h. The reaction was complete after three days, as judged by TLC. The solution was filtered hot and evaporated nearly to dryness. Toluene (300 mL) was added to the residue and the mixture refluxed with a Dean-Stark trap for about 2 h to remove the

Table 2. Crystal Data and Refinement Parameters for Metal Complexes

 $a^40.58C_6H_{14}$ was included in fw, D, and μ ; it represents the approximate amount of electron density removed by SQUEEZE⁴⁴ before final refinement. See text for details.

remaining water and formic acid. The mixture was filtered when it was still hot, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with 2:1 ethyl acetate/hexane. Colorless crystals of the E conformer of 6 grew easily from the eluate by evaporation. Yield: 3.91 g (66%). MP:
134–136 °C (lit. 139 °C³⁶). ¹H NMR spectra of **6** show a mixture of E and Z conformers immediately on mixing. In CDCl₃, E: 7.24, 7.91 (E3-4, 4H, AB), 8.30 (E1, 1H, d), 8.91 (NH, 1H, d), 9.95 (E5, 1H, s). Z: 7.60 (Z1, 1H, s), 7.75, 7.88 (Z3-4, 4H, AB), 8.47 (NH, 1H, s), 9.94 (Z5, 1H, s). In DMSO- d_6 , E: 7.40, 7.86 (E3-4, 4H, AB), 9.03 (NH, 1H, d), 9.89 (E5, 1H, s), 10.56 (E1, 1H, d). Z: 7.79, 7.89 (Z3-4, 4H, AB), 8.37 (NH, 1H, s), 9.89 (Z5, 1H, s), 10.64 (Z1, 1H, s).

3-(4-Formamidophenyl)pentane-2,4-dione (HacphNHCHO, 2). Compound 6 (2.56 g, 17.2 mmol) and phospholene 4 (2,2,2trimethoxy-4,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene; 4.02 g, 19.2 mmol) were dissolved in 15 mL of dichloromethane under argon. The reaction was complete after 3 days, as judged by the disappearance of the aldehyde resonance in ${}^{1}H$ NMR. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel with 2:1 ethyl acetate/hexane. Yield: 1.95 g (52%). MP (Z): 124 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}NO_3$: C, 65.74; H, 5.98; N, 6.39. Found: C, 65.59; H, 6.14; N, 6.48. Slow evaporation of solutions of 2 yields crystals. In most of our experiments, the crystals were of the Z conformer; in one case, we also obtained a small amount of the E conformer in crystalline form. However, solutions of either conformer quickly develop a second set of ¹H NMR resonances attributable to the other conformer.

In CDCl3, E: 7.12, 7.18 (E3-4, 4H, AB), 8.19 (E1, 1H, d), 8.74 (E2, 1H, d). Z: 7.15, 7.58 (Z3-4, 4H, AB), 7.45 (Z1, 1H, s), 8.41 (Z2, 1H, s). Enol: 1.88 (CH₃, 6H, s), 16.66 (OH, 1H, s). Keto: 2.20 (CH₃, 6H, s), 4.83 (CH, 1H, s). In DMSO- d_6 , E: 7.16, 7.23 (E3-4, 4H, AB), 8.84 (E2, 1H, d), 10.20 (E1, 1H, d). Z: 7.20, 7.62 (Z3-4, 4H, AB), 8.29 (Z2, 1H, s), 10.26 (Z1, 1H, s). Enol: 1.85 (CH₃, 6H, s), 16.79 (OH, 1H, s). Keto: 2.13 (CH₃, 6H, s), 5.30 (CH, 1H, s).

Al(acphNHCHO)₃ (10). A solution of $Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O$ $(1.125 \text{ g}, 3.00 \text{ mmol})$ in 15 mL of H₂O was added to a solution of HacphNHCHO (2.19 g, 10.0 mmol) in 15 mL of $CH₃OH$ with stirring. Then, $NaHCO₃(0.756 g, 9.0 mmol)$ in 5 mL of $H₂O$ was added. A light yellow precipitate formed, which was collected, triturated with H₂O (2 \times 15 mL) and methanol (10 mL), and airdried. Yield: 1.89 g (92%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{36}AlN_3O_9$: C, 63.43; H, 5.32; N, 6.16. Found: C, 63.47; H, 5.33; N, 6.24. ¹ H NMR (DMSO-d₆): 1.77 (s, Me, 18H). E: 7.18, 7.22 (E3-4, 12H, AB), 8.81 (E2, 3H, d), 10.18 (E1, 3H, d). Z: 7.14, 7.61 (Z3-4, 12H, AB), 8.28 (Z2, 3H, s), 10.23 (Z1, 3H, s).

Fe(acphNHCHO)₃ (11). A solution of Fe(NO₃)₃ \cdot 9H₂O (3.43) g, 8.5 mmol) in 15 mL of $H₂O$ was added to a solution of HacphNHCHO (6.65 g, 30.4 mmol) in 40 mL of $CH₃OH$ with stirring. Then, NaHCO₃ (2.14 g, 25.5 mmol) in 25 mL of H_2O was added. A deep red precipitate formed, which was collected; washed with H₂O (2 \times 15 mL), methanol (2 \times 15 mL), and acetone (2×15 mL); and dried at 100 °C. Yield: 3.77 g (62%).

Cu(acphNHCHO)₂ (12). Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (0.363 g, 1.82) mmol) in $7 \text{ mL of } H_2O$ was added to a solution of HacphNHCHO (0.92 g, 4.2 mmol) in 8 mL of methanol, with stirring. A bluegreen precipitate formed, which was collected, washed with H_2O and methanol, and air-dried. Yield: 0.90 g (99%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{24}H_{24}CuN_2O_6$: C, 57.64; H, 4.84; N, 5.60. Found: C, 57.49; H, 4.63; N, 5.58. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown from DMSO/water.

trans-Zn(acphNHCHO)₂(H₂O)₂ (13). Solutions of Zn(OAc)₂ \cdot 2H₂O (0.11 g, 0.50 mmol, in 5 mL of H₂O) and HacphNHCHO $(0.22 \text{ g}, 1.00 \text{ mmol}, \text{in } 3 \text{ mL of } CH_3OH)$ were mixed. NaHCO₃ $(0.08 \text{ g}, 1.0 \text{ mmol})$ in 2 mL of H_2O was added to this mixture, and a yellow precipitate formed immediately. The precipitate was collected; washed with dichloromethane, $H₂O$, and diethyl ether; and dried at 70 °C overnight. Yield: 0.11 g (40%) . This material contained both E and Z conformers of its formamide moieties, as judged by its ¹H NMR spectrum immediately after dissolving in DMSO- d_6 : 1.65 (s, Me, 12H). E: 7.16, 7.19 (E3-4, 8H, AB), 8.81 (E2, 2H, d), 10.13 (E1, 2H, d). Z: 7.14, 7.58 (Z3-4, 8H, AB), 8.28 (Z2, 2H, s), 10.18 (Z1, 2H, s). Meanwhile, light yellow single crystals of $[trans-Zn(acphNHCHO)_2(H_2O)_2]$ ³ \cdot 2H₂O (i.e., 13 \cdot 2H₂O, with *Z*-formamides) were obtained from the $H₂O/methanol$ filtrate the next day; this material was suitable for X-ray analysis.

 $\text{Al}(acphNC)_{3}$ (14). To a solution of Al(acphNHCHO)₃ (1.023) g, 1.50 mmol) and diisopropylamine (1.50 g, 14.8 mmol) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (50 mL) was added POCl₃ (0.690 g, 4.50 mmol) at room temperature under argon. After stirring for 1 h, the whole solution became clear. It was washed with 10% NaHCO₃ (20 mL), and the $CH₂Cl₂$ layer was separated and evaporated to $10-15$ mL. Hexane was added to this solution to precipitate the powdery yellow product, which was dried at 70 °C. Yield: 0.710 g (75%). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{36}H_{30}AlN_3O_6$: C, 68.89; H, 4.82; N, $6.70.$ Found: C, 68.69; H, 4.81; N, 6.78. IR: 2121 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR(250 MHz, CDCl3): 1.87 (18H, s), 7.25, 7.41 (12H, AB). Single crystals of the hexane solvate of 14 suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by vapor diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of the compound.

Fe(acphNC)₃ (15). To a mixture of Fe(acphNHCHO)₃ (2.65) g, 3.73 mmol) and diisopropylamine (5.56 g, 55.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was added POCl₃ (2.61 g, 17.0 mmol) at room temperature under argon and stirred for 2 h. The above solution was then washed with 10% NaHCO₃ (2×40 mL), and the $CH₂Cl₂$ layer was separated and evaporated to 5 mL. The addition of hexanes (100 mL) to this solution precipitated the powdery red product, which was collected, washed with 50 mL of hexanes, and dried at 70 °C. Yield: 2.20 g (90%). IR: 2126 cm^{-1} .

 $Cu(aephNC)_2$ (16). To a mixture of Cu(acphNHCHO)₂ (12; 0.350 g, 0.70 mmol) and diisopropylamine (0.446 g, 4.4 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was added POCl₃ (0.220 g, 1.44 mmol) at room temperature under argon. The color slowly changed from blue to green. After stirring for 5 days, not all of the Cu- $(acphNHCHO)₂$ had dissolved. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate washed with water $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ and with 10% $NaHCO₃(aq)$. When hexane was added to the dichloromethane phase, a brown gum separated. It could not be isolated in pure form, even after trituration with several solvents, but its IR spectrum showed $v_{\text{C=N}} = 2147 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

 $Zn(aephNC)_2$ (17). $Zn(aephNHCHO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (0.163 g, 0.30 mmol) and $P_{T_2}NH$ (0.217 g, 2.15 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL). $POCl₃$ (0.110 g, 0.72 mmol) was added slowly to the solution, with stirring. The whole solution became clear in 30 min. The solution was washed with 10 mL of 10% NaHCO₃(aq). The CH₂Cl₂ layer was separated, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated to $10-15$ mL, and hexane was added to precipitate the product as a yellow powder. Yield: 0.018 g (13%) . IR: 2124 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.87 (12H, s), 7.23, 7.42 (8H, AB).

3-(4-Isocyanophenyl)pentane-2,4-dione (HacphNC, 1). The iron(III) isocyano- β -diketonate complex Fe(acphNC)₃ (17; 1.36 g, 2.07 mmol) was dissolved in 100 mL of dichloromethane. Oxalic acid $(H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O, 2.52 \text{ g}, 20.0 \text{ mmol})$ and potassium oxalate $(K_2C_2O_4 \cdot H_2O, 33.12$ g, 180.0 mmol) were dissolved in 200 mL of distilled water. These two solutions were stirred together for 20 min, and the red color in the organic layer disappeared, indicating that the reaction was complete. The organic phase was then separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 50 mL of dichloromethane. The organic phases were combined, dried over Na2SO4, and evaporated to ca. 3 mL. This residue was chromatographed with ethyl acetate-hexane (1:1 v/v). Yield: 0.46 g (37%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$: C, 71.63; H, 5.51; N, 6.96. Found: C, 71.44; H, 5.36; N, 7.03. ¹ H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.89 (6H, s), 7.23, 7.42 (4H, *AB*), 16.71 (1H, s). IR: 2122 cm⁻¹. Compound 1 decomposed at ca. 140 \degree C without melting. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in ethyl acetate-hexane (1:2 v/v).

HacphNCAuCl (18). (Me₂S)AuCl (0.059 g, 0.20 mmol) and HacphNC (0.044 g, 0.22 mmol) were dissolved in CHCl₃ (3 mL) and refluxed for 1 h. The solution was evaporated at 45 \degree C to remove the solvent and $Me₂S$. The residue was dissolved in 0.6 mL of CHCl3 and precipitated with 3 mL of hexanes. The precipitate was air-dried. Yield: 0.028 g (32%). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.88 (6H, s), 7.37, 7.60 (4H, AB), 16.75 (1H, s). IR: 2223 cm^{-1} . Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown from CHCl₃ by layering with hexanes.

Al(acphNCAuCl)₃ (19). Al(acphNC)₃ (14; 0.0314 g, 0.050 mmol) and $(Me₂S)AuCl$ $(0.0441 g, 0.150 mmol)$ were dissolved in 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and refluxed under nitrogen for 2 h. The solution was then evaporated to dryness. ${}^{1}H$ NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.86 (18H, s), 7.34, 7.60 (12H, AB). IR: 2222 cm⁻¹.

Acknowledgment. We thank Drs. Frank R. Fronczek and Emily F. Maverick for assistance with the X-ray analyses. This work was supported by the Department of Energy (DE-FG02- 01ER15267).

Supporting Information Available: X-ray data for compounds 1, (E)- and (Z)-2, (E)-6, 9, 12, 13 \cdot 2H₂O, 14 \cdot 0.58C₆H₁₄, and 18, in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.